

## Andrew Vinson

Call 2000



### Clerks' Details

Joe Mawson  
0161 817 2753

Tom Gornall  
0161 817 7139

Prya Anisa  
0161 817 2794

### Appointments

- Junior Counsel to the Crown (Regional Panel A)

### Memberships

- Chancery Bar Association
- Northern Chancery Bar Association (Former Secretary)
- Northern Circuit Commercial Bar Association

### Education

- BA (Hons) Modern History, The Queen's College, Oxford (Class I, 1998)
- Common Professional Examination, The College of Law (Distinction, 1999)
- Bar Vocational Course, The Inns of Court School of Law (Very Competent, 2000)
- Harmsworth Exhibition, Middle Temple (1999)

### Commercial Fraud CV

#### Overview

Andrew is an experienced chancery and commercial practitioner who has dealt with litigation arising from allegations of commercial wrongdoing and fraud on many occasions. These include matters such as misfeasance, breach of trust and fiduciary duty on the part of trustees, directors (de jure and shadow) and others, accessory liability such as dishonest assistance and knowing receipt, fraudulent trading, wrongful accounting, conspiracy and unjust enrichment claims.

He has often sought Norwich Pharmacal orders and pre-action disclosure in order to assess wrongdoing and locate its proceeds, as well as freezing and proprietary injunctions to restrain dissipation of assets. He has dealt with numerous tracing claims.

On behalf of the government, he has sought to wind up companies in the public interest on the basis of allegations of fraud and other such matters, and to disqualify directors accused of similar. He also acts for companies and directors facing such claims.

He has experience of contempt proceedings.

## Cases

---

**A v B and others** - Acting for the Claimant in a claim in which the sale proceeds of shares were the subject of a successfully settled tracing claim including allegations of fraud, breach of trust, constructive trusts and knowing receipt.

**C v D** - A successful claim initiated by freezing injunction for the recovery of substantial sums said to be held on constructive trust or to have caused unjust enrichment.

**E v F** - Pursuing contempt proceedings against a high profile director alleged to have failed to co-operate with an investigation into two companies.

**G v H** - A claim in relation to the sale proceeds of assets alleged to have been held on trust in breach duty and as part of a conspiracy.

## Recommendations

“He is extremely intelligent and extremely knowledgeable, and is able to channel his knowledge into being a really effective barrister. He makes effective submissions to judges and doesn’t lose sight of the structure of his arguments.” “He delivers his advice with conviction and confidence. When he tells you something it becomes believable and persuasive, which clients like, and it adds value.”

“He is very commercially minded and a fount of knowledge in chancery matters.”

**Chambers and Partners 2022**

“Robust and to the point. He has a good grasp of difficult concepts.”

“Well-prepared, calm and effective advocate who gets his point across succinctly.”

**The Legal 500 2022**

Andrew has been consistently recommended for chancery and commercial litigation in the legal directories every year since 2007.

“His legal knowledge and analysis are superb.” “He is a very good black letter lawyer, who presents his cases very well on paper and has real clarity of thought.” “He gives very practical advice.”

“He is very thorough, with a good handle on difficult situations.” “He really knows his stuff and is very pragmatic.”

**Chambers and Partners 2021**

“Andrew is both thorough and knowledgeable. He is approachable and very hard working. I have no hesitation in recommending and referring my clients to him safe in the knowledge that they will be very well represented both with his written advice and as an advocate at court.”

“Attention to detail, ability to breakdown complex issues and discuss with the client in a meaningful way, honest and clear advice.”

**The Legal 500 2021**

“He gives very good, practical advice with sound legal reasoning.”

“He is pragmatic, clear and his advocacy is very persuasive. He won’t shy away from difficult arguments.”

“He is detailed, effective and strategic.”

**Chambers and Partners 2020**

“Personable, thorough and intelligent.”

### **The Legal 500 2020**

“He does a fantastic job and really cares for his clients.”

“He is very intelligent, as well as being straight-talking, pragmatic and diplomatic. He is sympathetic, honest and does not mess around.”

“A good commercial man who’s very good at giving advice to corporate clients.”

### **Chambers and Partners 2019**

“He reads the court well.”

### **The Legal 500 2018/19**

“He is one of the true intellectuals at the Bar, and really is a smart chap.”

“Andrew is frighteningly efficient, extremely clever and reads a case very well.”

“He is considered and measured.”

### **Chambers and Partners 2018**

## Beyond the Bar

Andrew is widely travelled and enjoys skiing, walking and photography.