



Clerks' Details

- Ian Spencer
- 0113 203 1971
- Kate Heald
- 0113 203 1983
- Zoe Tinnion
- 0113 518 2599
- Sara Baxter
- 0113 203 1970

Appointments

Grade 4 Prosecutor

Civil and Commercial Mediator (Non-practising)

Memberships

• Criminal Bar Association

Education

- BPTC
- BPP Law School
- Master of Laws LLM (Dunelm): Merit University of Durham 2010 – 2013
- Bachelor of Laws LLB (Dunelm): 2:1 University of Durham 2013 - 2014

Criminal CV

Overview

Eddison is an approved Grade 3 advocate for the Crown Prosecution Service and regularly conducts trials across Yorkshire and the North for both prosecution and defence.

Eddison accepts instructions in a complete array of criminal matters including:

- Drug supply
- Sexual offences
- Theft and burglary
- Robbery and all levels of serious assault
- Fraud offences
- Confiscation and enforcement proceedings
- Motoring offences

Recent instructions include a multi-handed robbery and York Crown Court's first post lockdown trial. He has also been instructed as junior counsel for the Crown in a 12-handed violent disorder case and as junior counsel for the defence in a case involving conspiracy to murder and perverting the course of public justice. Throughout the latter, Eddison dealt with tens of thousands of pages of unused material, appreciating the need to be thoroughly prepared and familiar with all case materials.

Eddison has experience in handling vulnerable witnesses and clients and is adept at dealing with the problems that often arise in cases involving domestic violence or sensitive issues. He is quickly gaining experience in dealing with the most vulnerable witnesses through Section 28 procedures first trialled in Leeds Crown Court.

Eddison regularly defends in a multitude of areas and has experience



in dealing with expert evidence, including securing an acquittal in a matter involving the supply of Class A drugs through challenging the forensics in the case.

Eddison has experience in road traffic matters, regularly and successfully advancing arguments involving exceptional hardship and special reasons.

Using the experience garnered from his criminal practice, Eddison has also carried out work in the niche area of committal applications for contempt of court cases; he has defended in proceedings ranging from breaches of Anti-Social Behaviour Injunctions in the County Court, to six-figure breaches of freezing injunctions in the High Court.

Recommendations

"Eddison is always thoroughly prepared; he knows his cases inside out. He is not afraid of taking on complex legal arguments. He has a great work ethic and is a dream to work with."

The Legal 500 2024

'Eddison is extremely enthusiastic. He is always very well prepared.'

The Legal 500 2023

Beyond the Bar

Outside of practice, Eddison is an avid golfer and keen Fountain Pen enthusiast.



Cases

R v AH – Appeared in the Court of Appeal, successfully arguing for a reduction in the defendant's sentence in a case involving considerations around culpability when mental health is in issue.

RvSR – Secured an acquittal for a man charged with being concerned in the supply of Class A drugs. The Defendant's fingerprints were found on 5 bags of drugs but was acquitted following forensic cross-examination of the fingerprint expert.

R v LW – Junior Counsel for the defence in a case involving conspiracy to murder and perverting the course of public justice. Eddison dealt with over 100,000 pages of disclosure over the course of the trial.

R v MS and 11 Others – Junior Counsel to the Crown on a violent disorder matter involving 12 defendants in a street wide brawl in Bradford.

RvDM - Prosecuted the theft of building site machinery, rebutting the defendant's alleged reasonable explanation. This case led to successful POCA proceedings where the existence of "hidden assets" was proven.

R v JR – Prosecuted a 2-day affray and offensive weapons case where the Defendant was alleging self-defence.

R v SH - Contested a sentence that was alleged to be "wrong in principle" at the Court of Appeal.

JR v NT – Successfully dismissed committal proceedings against the defendant on the technical defence of inadequate service. Eddison used recent case law to establish that the Defendant had not been served with the relevant freezing injunctions and therefore could not be in breach. This case involved freezing injunctions for a near six-figure sum.

NYCC v JW – Successfully dismissed committal proceedings as was able to establish that the defendant could not be in breach of an order as alleged as at the time of the alleged breach, the order had lapsed.