

## John Richard Jones KC

Call 1981 | Silk 2002



### Clerks' Details

👤 Nick Buckley  
☎ 0161 817 2757

👤 Kate Masher  
☎ 0151 242 8841

### Appointments

- Recorder
- Associate Lecturer at Northumbria Law School

### Memberships

- Criminal Bar Association
- Northern Circuit

### Education

- LL.B (University of Liverpool)  
Middle Temple

### Health & Safety CV

#### Overview

From the foundations of a well-established criminal practice, John has developed a strong professional disciplinary and regulatory practice with particular expertise in the health and safety field.

His work in the regulatory field includes environmental work in defending prosecutions brought by the Environmental Agency for illegal waste management and damage to water courses.

John represents employers, companies, directors, individuals and public bodies on the full spectrum of health and safety matters, including:

- Advice following work-related deaths;
- Response to investigations by HSE, Department of the Environment and Local Authority enforcement for environmental, health & safety issues;
- Statutory powers under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 (HSWA) and pre-charge advice;
- Breach of HSWA and associated health and safety regulations;
- Trading Standards work for individual and corporate clients;
- Oppressive sales methods, misleading pricing and CPUT breaches;
- Corporate Manslaughter and gross negligence manslaughter; company, directors, employer and employee criminal liability.

John is an incisive advocate known for his formidable ability in the courtroom. He is equally at home with detailed cross examination as he is in ensuring the jury fully understands with complete clarity, the issue he is raising. He is a calm, yet persuasive presence who, through years of heavyweight, hard-hitting advocacy is widely respected by opponents, juries and judges alike.

John is consistently recognised for his expertise as a Leading Silk, in both Chambers and Partners UK & The Legal 500 Guide.

## Recommendations

I have known Mr Jones KC for a number of years now. By definition of Mr Jones KC status, we have worked on the most serious of offences in the criminal calendar. He quickly elevated to become my “first go-to” silk of choice. His dedication, preparation and eye for detail, no matter how paper voluminous a case is, is phenomenal and it quickly becomes apparent. He has a down to earth approach to cases and my clients have always been very impressed by his dedication and skill. At what arguably is the lowest point of their lives Mr Jones KC has the knack of immediately putting them at ease from their very first meeting. On a selfish note this is always great for me because it lifts a lot of the pressure.

Mr Jones KC readily engages everyone involved in a case, client, instructing Solicitor and Junior Counsel and welcomes people’s views and comments. He is the first to say all cases are a team effort. He makes himself available any time of day or night if advice or a view is required on something.

In Court he has a very big presence and it is clear the respect Judges and peers alike have for him. He is a great Jury Advocate.

I only have one negative comment to make, I wish I had met the Gentlemen professional much sooner than I did!

**Garry Leaver, Farley’s Solicitors**

“John Jones QC is regularly instructed by the Firm because he is an effective and powerful advocate representing our clients in the most serious of cases to be heard throughout England and Wales.

John’s approach to preparation is meticulous, thorough and methodical, identifying evidential weaknesses in our opponent’s case to be pressed home by him to win the trial.

John’s client care is second to none, with regular and meaningful conferences with our clients, proceeding to navigate them through the complexity of the evidence and law in an easy to understand manner but moreover so the client is best prepared when giving evidence at trial.

It is not surprising that John’s caseload in recent years has included representing client’s faced with Murder, Terrorism and high value Money Laundering allegations.

John excels as Queen’s Counsel for all the above reasons but also because his character and personality always shine through. He is naturally committed and hardworking with a genuine interest in working for our client as well as being incredibly easy to work with, being approachable and accessible by phone or in person.

You want Queen’s Counsel and you want the best – choose John Jones QC.”

**Nasir Hafezi LLB MA**

**Solicitor at Robert Lizar Solicitors**

“John scores on every front. His paperwork is absolutely first class; logical, lucid, well presented, well ordered and above all totally to the point. His thorough preparation is evidenced by a relaxed but highly effective courtroom presentation. Be it in forensic cross examination of experts, or chatting things through with the jury, his sheer command of the English language is a joy to witness. All in all a sound choice, but with an added advantage, which any solicitor will understand he is a natural team leader who takes the time to listen.”

**Mike Mackey, Senior Partner, Burton Copeland**

“John is a superb advocate and his case and trial preparations are exceptional and very thorough. His interest and commitment to the client’s cause always shines through. John is never afraid of giving difficult advice to a client and he doesn’t shy away from issues which need addressing. John thinks strategically from the outset and always ensures that my clients understand any difficulties they may face and they are thus able to make decisions based on the very best advice available.”

**Jason Cropper, Partner, Regulatory Investigations and Prosecutions, TLT LLP**

*Consistently ranked in the legal directories, Chambers UK and the Legal 500, John is described as a “heavy hitting silk” and is praised for his “formidable reputation as an advocate”. Sample references from the directories include:*

*“John is a superb jury advocate and lawyer. My go-to silk.”*

*“He’s very thorough and gets good results.”*

**Chambers and Partners 2024**

*“He is brilliant - extremely thorough and so clever.”*

*“He is calm and assured.”*

**Chambers and Partners 2023**

*“He is hard-working, thorough and excellent with clients and juries alike.”*

**Chambers and Partners 2022**

*“He is brilliant, extremely thorough and so clever.” “John has an excellent manner and builds up a rapport with the client. He is proactive and delivers outstanding closing speeches.” “He is hard-working and gets good results.”*

**Chambers and Partners 2021**

*“Has an excellent manner and builds up a rapport with clients. He is proactive and delivers outstanding closing speeches.” “A pugnacious advocate, the proverbial iron fist in a velvet glove.”*

*“A top-drawer silk with a very strong work ethic.” “He lives and breathes financial crime.” “A meticulous barrister and an excellent jury advocate. He’s very personable and is someone who can bring himself to the jury’s level and explain things in a non-technical way, while getting across some very pertinent and technical points.”*

**Chambers and Partners 2020**

“He’s very thorough, hard-working and gets good results.” “He works tirelessly to achieve the best results for his clients; he’s a very clever advocate.”

**Chambers and Partners 2019**

“He’s an excellent advocate who has an outstanding command of the courtroom. He’s diligent in his preparation for complex and lengthy trials.”

**Chambers and Partners 2018**

“Specialises in serious crime and fraud work, with particular expertise in money laundering, drugs and sexual offences. Regularly involved in cases arising out of domestic terrorism.”

**Chambers and Partners 2017**

“A really powerful trial advocate. His attention to detail is remarkable and he has a very caring approach to the lay clients.”

**Chambers and Partners 2016**

“Handles serious fraud and money laundering defence work. Solicitors appreciate his meticulous approach” “He is very good on his feet and commands a lot of respect from Barristers and Judges” “He is extremely hardworking and good with clients.”

**Chambers and Partners 2014**

John is cited as a “leading Silk” in both crime and regulatory work in the Legal 500 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018/19, 2020 and 2021.

**Crime:**

“His preparation in cases is meticulous, his client care is impeccable and his advocacy is always forceful and formidable. The go-to KC.”

“John has the ability to put the client at ease from their very first meeting, which is no mean feat bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegations they may face. He has a down-to-earth approach and readily engages everyone present, he is never fearful of asking people their thoughts and views, his preparation of a case does not go without notice, and his eye for detail is phenomenal.”

**The Legal 500 2024**

“John has a stylish, smooth and unflappable demeanour in Court. His advocacy is precise, comprehensive and cogent. As a result of his thorough knowledge of the law and preparation of his cases, John is trusted by the Court and is, as a result, a very persuasive advocate. He is very agile in cross examination and reacting to the unexpected events that occur in a criminal trial. John has a great eye for detail in paper heavy cases.”

**The Legal 500 2023**

“Attention to detail. Superb with the clients and juries alike. Overall a fantastic lawyer and advocate. My go-to silk.”

**The Legal 500, 2022**

“A formidable advocate whose attention to detail is second-to-none.”

**The Legal 500, 2021**

“Very hard-working and always gives good turnaround of instructions.”

**The Legal 500, 2020**

“A specialist in criminal law.”

**The Legal 500, 2018/19**

“An iron fist in a velvet glove.”

**The Legal 500, 2017**

“Experienced in fraud and all other white collar crime.”

**The Legal 500, 2016**

“His advocacy is calm and precise, and his gravitas with the judge makes him very persuasive.”

**The Legal 500, 2015**

**Regulatory/Health and Safety/Environment work:**

“John Jones QC has a strong background in handling fraud cases concerning issues including money laundering, corporate fraud and insider dealing.”

**The Legal 500, 2022**

“Has the ability to lead a team whilst listening to instructions given. Excellent with clients. Extremely hard working, an effective advocate who is also lucid in his written submissions.”

**The Legal 500, 2021**

“Very hard-working and always turns around work in good time.”

**The Legal 500, 2020**

“Very experienced across a range of health and safety cases.”

**The Legal 500, 2018/19**

“A formidable lawyer.”

**The Legal 500, 2017**

“Defends actions brought by regulators, including the H.S.E and the environment Agency”.

**The Legal 500, 2016**

“He adopts an utterly fearless and robust approach.”

**The Legal 500, 2015**

## Cases

***HSE v Liverpool City Council and Tarmac PLC*** - Retained by the local authority in a case involving the death of a pedestrian attempting to cross the highway during the time a road was being re surfaced. LCC were the highway authority who had appointed Tarmac as their authorized contractor. Complex issues of causation due to the speed of the car that struck the pedestrian whilst travelling through the road works. CDM issues.

***R v Valmet Limited*** - Case involved multiple deaths of workers whilst repairing machinery. Deaths caused by failures to adequately isolate power sources. Complex case due to the multi-faceted causation of the accident with devastating results and multiple deaths. Case required particularly sensitive handling due to the emergence of evidence to the effect that the workmen involved had been specifically warned as to the need for isolation of machinery. These warnings were of particular significance given the fact that other methods of power isolation were proven to be ineffective.

***HSE v L and J*** - Prosecution of two charitable foundations and Church institutions in consequence of their alleged failures in the supervision of a young man killed in a fall from height in an accident at their premises. Complex issues of charitable governance and corporate responsibility. Legal issues including the reverse burden of proof.

***HSE v E and F*** - Defence of two serving police officers in consequence of an incident during a training exercise when a colleague was accidentally shot and killed. Their Chief Constable was also prosecuted. Prosecution under the Health and Safety at Work Act on the basis that the rehearsal of the tactic, the subject of the training exercise, was conducted in an unsafe way due to the use of live ammunition in combination with role players in circumstances where the participants in the exercise would or could lapse into a state whereby the training exercise became, in their minds and perception, a circumstance of reality. The case was one of considerable complexity in consequence of the anonymity of the defendants and witnesses and the need to conduct a significant proportion of the case in the absence of the public and media due to the sensitivity of the issues in the case, the tactics used and the material under review. The analysis of post and pre-accident tactics and behaviour was a cornerstone of the case.

***R v Renton*** - The case involved the death of a young man who had been lifted on the forks of a fork lift truck (a flexi truck). The purpose was to check the stock and positioning of pallets in the warehouse. The correct procedure was said to be to lift down each and every individual pallet rather than lifting up a man to check the individual racks upon which the pallets were placed. The young man so lifted on the forks was crushed against a protruding steel member in a suspended roof. Defendant charged with manslaughter. Evidence showed he was merely following a condoned but bad work practice which was

originally said by the Prosecution not to have existed but which disclosure and evidence revealed to be present and adopted by more senior members of the employers' staff. Case dismissed at preparatory hearing.

## TRADING STANDARDS WORK

***Dudley Trading Standards v Summit Roof guard*** – prosecution of alleged offences of fraud committed by a company, its directors and employees arising out of the sale of double glazing and associated roof line products. The allegations concerned pricing and the sales methods used. Case was dismissed upon a submission of no case in consequence of the inability of the prosecution to prove dishonesty notwithstanding the fact that the sales methods may have been aggressive and/or unacceptable.

***Dudley Trading Standards v Sarah Beadle*** - Prosecution of the director of a double glazing and roofline company for alleged breaches of the CPUT Regulations. Having failed against the company the Prosecution mounted a case against certain directors and sales people. Reverse burden of proof case. Case only partially successful and director's acquitted of significant counts in what was said to be the largest prosecution of its type.